UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,267	04/26/2006	Bret David Hawkins	PU030298	4090
	7590 07/19/201 d, Patent Operations	EXAMINER		
THOMSON Lic P.O. Box 5312			CHOKSHI, PINKAL R	
Princeton, NJ 0	8543-5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2425	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/19/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/577,267		HAWKINS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PINKAL R. CHOKSHI	2425	

	FINNAL N. CHONSHI	2423	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 July 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE r).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee se action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the company of the compa	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further core 			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i L below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Brian T Pendleton/	/PINKAL R CHOKSHI/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425	Examiner, Art Unit 2425		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant allges that the Knudson and/or Gerba does not teach "in response to receiving said updated program guide, determining if a banner advertising a future program on said channel is currently displayed while said channel is tuned. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Rejection was based on the combination of Knudson and Gerba, where Knudosn discloses (col.1, lines 33-35; col.8, line 39-col.9, line 37) a device receives real time data (updated program guide) and displays real time data on sports scores, where up-to-the-minute score is continuously displayed on the screen as represented in Fig. 3. However, Knudson is silent as to determining whether the banner is already displayed when an event occurs. Gerba discloses (¶0187) that the determination is made whether the banner is displayed when a channel change event occurs as represented in Fig. 32A (element 952).

General teaching of references Knudson and Gerba are used to reject above limitation, where Knudson displays a banner with real time score information as the device receives real time data and Gerba determines whether the banner is diplayed when an event occurs. The motivation would have been to provide viewer with the latest schedule changes such as emergency alert. Therefore, it renders obviousoness of the claim and moots Applicant argument.